,		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2		ISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 1:17-CR-218
5	Plaintiff,	(LJV)
6	vs.	October 23, 2018
	SHANE AURAND,	
7	Defendant.	
8		
9		IPT OF SENTENCING
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAWRENCE J. VILARDO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11		IES DISTRICT JUDGE
12		P. KENNEDY, JR.
13		O STATES ATTORNEY FEPHANIE O. LAMARQUE, ESQ.
14		tant United States Attorney
15		elaware Avenue Lo, New York 14202
16	For th	ne Plaintiff
	TRBOV	ICH LAW FIRM
17	1967 [ICHAEL SEIBERT, ESQ. Wehrle Drive
18		1 amsville, New York 14221
19	For the	ne Defendant
20	PROBATION: NATAL	IE B. WHITMAN, USPO
21	DEPUTY CLERK: COLLER	EN M. DEMMA
22		. SAWYER, FCRR, RPR, CRR,
23	Robert	NYACR, Notary Public H. Jackson Courthouse
24		gara Square Lo, New York 14202
25		awyer@nywd.uscourts.gov

```
(Proceedings commenced at 11:04 a.m.)
 1
 2
             THE CLERK: All rise. United States District Court
    for the Western District of New York is now in session, the
 3
    Honorable Lawrence J. Vilardo presiding.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Please be seated.
 6
             THE CLERK: 17-CR-218, United States of America
 7
    versus Shane Aurand.
8
             Assistant United States Attorney Stephanie O.
9
    Lamarque appearing on behalf of the government.
10
             Attorney Michael Seibert appearing with defendant.
11
    Defendant is present.
             Also present is United States Probation Officer
12
13
    Natalie B. Whitman.
14
             This is the date set for sentencing.
15
             THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
16
             ALL PARTIES: Good morning, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Before we begin, I want to give both
18
    sides notice of an additional condition of supervised release
    that I am contemplating imposing, and that is a general mental
19
20
    health treatment condition.
21
             I've read everything, and especially the
22
    psychologist's report. And he seems to recommend that in
23
    addition to the special condition with respect to sex offender
24
    treatment, that Mr. Aurand also get some general mental health
25
    treatment, as well. And so I'm giving serious consideration
```

to imposing that. 1 I note that that was -- I don't believe, Ms. Whitman, 2 3 that's not in your set of conditions; is that right? 4 USPO WHITMAN: That's correct, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Yeah. 6 USPO WHITMAN: But we would not be opposed to that. 7 THE COURT: Yeah, I figured as much. But I just 8 wanted to make sure that the defense doesn't want to be heard 9 in opposition to that, or that the prosecution doesn't have 10 anything to say about it. I wouldn't assume the prosecution 11 would have anything to say. 12 MS. LAMARQUE: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Do you want to talk to your client about 14 that for a minute and see what your position is? 15 MR. SEIBERT: Judge, we do not oppose it. Actually, 16 he would like that. 17 THE COURT: Okay, great. Terrific. Good. Thank you. 18 So, Mr. Aurand is before the Court for sentencing on 19 his previous plea of guilty to Count 2 of the indictment, and 20 that charged that he knowingly distributed child pornography 21 using interstate commerce facilities in violation of 18, 22 United States Code, Sections 2252A(a)(2)(A) and 2252(a)(b)(1). 23 We're going to begin with some questions that I have for the lawyers and for you, Mr. Aurand, about the presentence 24 25 investigation report.

```
I'm then going to make sure that I've received and
 1
    read all the sentencing-related submissions.
 2
 3
             I will then make some findings of fact, and calculate
 4
    the applicable guidelines sentencing range. Then before I
 5
    state the sentence, I'm going to give counsel for both sides
 6
    and you, Mr. Aurand, an opportunity to address the Court or to
 7
    bring up anything else that you think is relevant to
8
    sentencing.
9
             So are there any questions before we begin? From the
10
    government?
11
             MS. LAMARQUE: No, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: From the defense?
13
             MR. SEIBERT: No, Judge.
14
             THE COURT: Okay. So let me ask, first, Mr. Seibert,
15
    have you had enough time to read the presentence report that
16
    was prepared on May 17th, 2018?
17
             MR. SEIBERT: Yes, I have, Judge.
18
             THE COURT: And have you reviewed it with your
19
    client?
20
             MR. SEIBERT: Yes, we have.
21
             THE COURT: And, Ms. Whitman, there have been no
    revisions since May 18th, 2018; is that right? Or, May --
22
23
    May 17th.
24
             USPO WHITMAN: That's correct, yes. That is correct.
25
             THE COURT: Did you explain the contents of the
```

```
report to Mr. Aurand?
 1
 2
             MR. SEIBERT: Yes.
 3
             THE COURT: And do you have any concerns about his
 4
    ability to understand it?
             MR. SEIBERT: No, Judge, I believe --
 5
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. Now, Mr. Aurand -- I'm sorry?
 7
             MR. SEIBERT: -- I believe he did understand it.
8
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Aurand, did you receive a copy
9
    of the presentence report that was prepared on May 17th?
10
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. And did your attorney explain it
12
    to you?
13
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14
             THE COURT: Do you understand it?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: Do you have any questions about it?
17
             THE DEFENDANT: No.
18
             THE COURT: Do you need more time to review it with
19
    your lawyer?
20
             THE DEFENDANT: No.
21
             THE COURT: Okay. So, let me ask first, Mr. Seibert,
22
    I don't believe that the defendant submitted a statement with
23
    respect to sentencing factors; is that right?
24
             You submitted a sentencing memorandum.
25
             MR. SEIBERT: Yes, Judge. We submitted a memo.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. So let me ask you this: Does the
 1
 2
    defendant want to contest or change any of the findings in the
 3
    presentence report?
 4
             MR. SEIBERT: Not that I'm aware of, Judge.
 5
             THE COURT: Well, I need to know now. Is there
 6
    anything you want to contest or change?
 7
             THE DEFENDANT: No.
 8
             MR. SEIBERT: No, Judge.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. And that includes the facts, as
10
    well as the guidelines calculation, Mr. Seibert?
11
             MR. SEIBERT: We went through the facts and the
12
    calculations. Of course, my client is a little upset with it.
13
    But, however, the facts are the facts.
14
             THE COURT: Okay. And you -- so you don't want to
15
    contest either the facts or the guidelines calculation; is
16
    that right?
17
             MR. SEIBERT: Yes, Judge.
18
             THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Aurand, do you want to
19
    contest or change anything in the report?
20
             THE DEFENDANT: No.
21
             THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Lamarque, does the government
22
    want to contest or change anything in the report?
23
             MS. LAMARQUE: No, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: And, again, that includes both the facts
25
    and the guidelines calculation; is that right?
```

```
That's correct.
 1
             MS. LAMARQUE:
 2
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Seibert, I've received the
 3
    defendant's presentence memo, and that attaches a 23-page
 4
    forensic mental health evaluation by Dr. Heffler.
 5
    everything?
 6
             MR. SEIBERT: Judge, yes. I believe there was also
 7
    some letters that we submitted on that day.
8
             THE COURT: I don't know that I've received any
9
    letters.
10
             MR. SEIBERT: My understanding is the letters were
11
    also sent and received.
12
             MS. LAMARQUE: I did it not receive any letters,
13
    Your Honor.
14
             LAW CLERK: I didn't see any letters, but --
15
             THE COURT: Do you have the letters with you?
16
             MR. SEIBERT: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Okay. Could you hand them up?
18
             MR. SEIBERT: Judge, may I approach?
19
             THE COURT: Sure.
20
             THE CLERK: Here, I'll take them.
21
             THE COURT: Yeah, I have not seen these.
22
             MR. SEIBERT: Judge, those were submitted, my
23
    understanding, they went through with the presentence
24
    memorandum, because we wanted to be in compliance to make sure
25
    they were received three days before. So they were sent in, I
```

```
believe, about a week before the original sentencing date.
 1
             THE COURT: Yeah, so I have document 34,
 2
 3
    presentencing memorandum submitted on behalf of defendant
 4
    Shane Aurand. It is 33 pages. And it consists of a 10-page
 5
    memorandum, and then a 23-page -- 23-page forensic report by
 6
    Dr. Heffler. I have nothing else.
 7
             MR. SEIBERT: I'm not sure how you didn't get it,
8
    Judge, but like I said, it was sent in. We sent it on the
    same date with the memorandum and the -- because on that day,
9
10
    we actually tried to file it under seal, and that request was
11
    denied, and that's when it was just sent normal, through a
12
    normal process.
13
             THE COURT: And you haven't seen these yet,
    Ms. Lamarque?
14
15
             MS. LAMAROUE:
                            I have not, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. So, let's -- let's take a break.
17
    Let me get some copies of these things made.
18
             Ms. Lamarque, you haven't seen them. So I'll give
    you a chance to argue that I shouldn't consider these, if
19
20
    that's what you want to do, after you've had a chance to take
21
    a look at them.
             But let's take a break for about a half an hour while
22
23
    we make some copies and take a look at these things, and check
24
    and see whether there has been something filed. I certainly
25
    didn't see anything, and neither did my law clerk, and neither
```

```
did Ms. Lamarque, which leads me to believe that these were
 1
    not filed. But let's take a look and see, and we'll deal with
 2
 3
    this when I get back.
 4
             MS. LAMARQUE:
                            Thank you.
             THE COURT: Okay?
 5
 6
             MR. SEIBERT: I'll call my office and ask them.
 7
             THE COURT: That's okay.
             MR. SEIBERT: On that date, I knew three days before.
8
9
    I went in my office, and I said, were all these filed?
10
    they showed me and said, yes, everything and the memorandum
11
    was filed.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Let me -- let me check, and we'll
13
    take a look at it.
14
             Okay. Thanks. I'll be right back.
15
             (Off the record at 11:12 a.m.)
16
             (Back on the record at 11:36 a.m.)
17
             THE CLERK: All rise.
18
             THE COURT: Please be seated.
19
             THE CLERK: We are back on the record for the
20
    sentencing in case number 17-CR-218, United States of America
21
    versus Shane Aurand.
22
             All counsel and parties are present.
23
             THE COURT: Ms. Lamarque, do you want to be heard?
24
             MS. LAMARQUE: Yes, Your Honor. I have reviewed the
25
    letters. I conferred with Mr. Seibert. The government has no
```

```
objection to the Court considering the content of the letters.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So, I have reviewed
 3
    the letters myself, and --
             MR. SEIBERT: Judge, before we begin, I do want to
 4
 5
    apologize to you.
                       I did call my office. I believe where the
 6
    snafu was, is they tried to make it an attachment. And it's
 7
    my understanding that there's some problems with the system
8
    when you try to attach to an original document.
9
             THE COURT: As someone who is technologically
10
    challenged himself, I -- I understand that, and no apology is
11
    necessary. I know you tried to get these submitted, and
12
    sometimes even with our best efforts, things don't get
13
    submitted.
14
             And Ms. Lamarque has graciously agreed not to oppose
15
    my consideration to these, so no harm, no foul, as far as I am
16
    concerned.
17
             MR. SEIBERT: And I thank Ms. Lamarque for that, too.
18
             THE COURT: Yep.
             MR. SEIBERT: Because I do know about the three-day
19
20
    rule.
21
             THE COURT: Yeah. No, no. And Ms. Lamarque knows
22
    that, but she's a reasonable prosecutor, and I think she's
23
    making the right decision to not to oppose this. And as I
    say, it's not a big deal.
24
25
             So, but I do want for the record to indicate the
```

```
letters that I've reviewed. So I've reviewed a letter from
 1
 2
    the defendant himself.
 3
             I've reviewed a letter from Heather Battaglia, who is
 4
    his mother.
 5
             I've reviewed a letter from Jacqueline Mattucci, who
 6
    is his great grandmother.
 7
             I've reviewed a letter from Linda -- it looks like
8
    Mohark? Mohark? Is that right?
9
             MR. SEIBERT: I believe so.
10
             THE COURT: M-O-H-A-R-K, it looks like to me?
11
             MR. SEIBERT: Let me see, Judge. Which one was that,
12
    Judge?
13
             THE COURT: Linda --
14
             THE DEFENDANT: Oh. Mohawk.
15
             THE COURT: Mohawk.
16
             MR. SEIBERT: Yes, Judge.
17
             THE COURT: Okay. So, Linda Mohawk.
             And then, finally, a letter -- and I can't read the
18
19
    signature, it's this one. It's handwritten.
20
             MR. SEIBERT: What's this one right here?
21
             THE COURT: Can you make it out, Ms. Lamarque?
22
             MS. LAMARQUE: It looks like maybe Seneca Mohawk?
23
             GALLERY MEMBER: Yeah.
24
             MR. SEIBERT: Yes, Judge. Seneca Mohawk.
25
             THE COURT: Seneca Mohawk?
```

```
They're actually present in the
 1
             MR. SEIBERT:
 2
    courtroom, who wrote those letters.
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. So I've read those letters. Are
    those all the letters that you've submitted.
 4
 5
             MR. SEIBERT: There should have been one from Heather
 6
    Battaglia.
 7
             THE COURT: I said that.
 8
             MR. SEIBERT: Yep. That's it, Judge.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. And so is that everything, then?
10
             MR. SEIBERT: That's everything, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. And is there anything else you
    would like to submit in writing?
12
13
             MR. SEIBERT: No, Judge.
14
             THE COURT: Okay.
15
             MR. SEIBERT: Judge, one request. We did file this
16
    online. However, there is a medical report attached to my
17
    online filing. And I don't know if I'm allowed to do this,
18
    but I'd ask somehow if we can move the -- put his memorandum
19
    or the -- Dr. Heffler's report retroactively under seal.
20
             THE COURT: Any problem with that, Ms. Lamarque?
21
             MS. LAMARQUE: No, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Yeah.
                                So we will do that. Well, Liam's
23
    not here. Colleen, can you --
             THE CLERK: Yes, I'll do that.
24
25
             MR. SEIBERT: Because I originally filed that, and
```

```
asked if we could file it under seal. But I don't believe
 1
 2
    that at the time, that anybody knew that I was going to be
 3
    attaching that doctor's report to it.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay.
 5
             MR. SEIBERT: And when our motion was denied to file
 6
    it under seal, we filed it the anyways the way we were
 7
    supposed to.
8
             THE COURT: Yep.
 9
             MR. SEIBERT: But I believe looking at it, that
10
    something like that probably shouldn't be accessible to
11
    anybody online.
12
             THE COURT: Yeah. I think that that's -- I think
13
    that that's right. So, yeah, we will -- we will file that
14
    under seal.
15
             Ms. Lamarque, I've received the government's
16
    statement with respect to sentencing factors and the
17
    government's sentencing memorandum. Is that everything?
18
             MS. LAMARQUE:
                             It is.
             THE COURT: And have there been any statements from
19
20
    victims submitted?
21
             MS. LAMARQUE: No, there were no victim statements
22
    submitted.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. And does the government want to
24
    submit anything else in writing?
25
             MS. LAMARQUE:
                            No.
```

THE COURT: Okay. I previously accepted the defendant's plea of guilty to Count 2, charging that the defendant knowingly distributed child pornography in violation of 18, United States Code, Sections 2252(a)(a)(2)(A), and 2252(a)(b)(1).

At that time, I deferred acceptance of the plea agreement. I now accept the terms and conditions of the plea agreement that was signed on March 23rd, 2018, and the judgement and sentence will be consistent with it.

I note that in the plea agreement, the government has agreed to move to dismiss open counts of the indictment against the defendant. So I'd also make a finding that the charge to which the defendant pled guilty, that is Count 2, adequately reflects the seriousness of the actual offense behavior, and that accepting the agreement will not undermine the statutory purposes of sentencing or the guidelines.

The plea agreement provides that the guidelines range will be determined as if the defendant were convicted of production of child pornography, which is one of two other charges in the indictment. The third charge is mere possession.

So because the defendant is pleading to distribution, and agreeing that the guidelines be calculated as if the plea were to production, the two more serious charges, the defendant's plea adequately reflects the seriousness of the

actual offense behavior, and accepting the agreement is actually consistent with the statutory purposes of sentencing and the guidelines.

The government has filed a statement with respect to sentencing factors accepting the United States Probation and Pretrial Service's -- Service Office's presentence report.

And while the defendant has not filed a statement with respect to sentencing factors, on the record today, defense counsel and the defendant himself have also accepted the presentence report and have not objected to the facts or the sentencing guidelines calculations in the report.

So based on the parties' submissions and their representations today in court, there are no disputes regarding the facts in the presentence report.

I've also reviewed that report. Based on my review, based on the written submissions, and based on the positions taken on the record today, I adopt the facts in the report as my findings of fact, and I incorporate them into the record.

I will now place the May 17th, 2018 presentence investigation report in the record under seal. If an appeal is filed, counsel on appeal will be given access to the sealed report except that counsel on appeal will not be given access to the recommendations section.

So, let's now turn to the guidelines, which I must calculate and consider as an important part of my

determination of a sentence.

I apologize to everyone in court for the very technical nature of what's about to follow, but the guidelines themselves and the reasons behind the guidelines make this a necessary step in the process.

Based on the parties' submissions and their representations today in court, there also are no disputes regarding the recommendations in the presentence investigation report as to the applicable sections of the United States Sentencing Commission's advisory guidelines.

Under guidelines Section 1B1.2(a), the government and the defendant have agreed that the defendant's sentencing range for imprisonment and a fine will be determined as if the defendant were convicted of production in violation of 18, United States Code, Section 2251(a).

So the presentence investigation report calculates, under the 2016 version of the guidelines manual, that Section 2G2.1(a) provides for a base offense level of 32.

The report then recommends that pursuant to guidelines Section 2G2.1(b)(1)(A), the offense level be increased by four levels, because the offense involved a minor who had not attained the age of 12 years. 32 plus 4 is 36.

The report recommends that under Section 2G2.1(b)(2)(A), the offense level be increased by another two levels, because the offense involved some sexual

36 plus 2 is 38. 1 contact. The report then recommends another two-level increase 2 3 under Section 2G2.1(b)(3) because the defendant knowingly 4 engaged in the distribution of child pornography. brings us to 40. 5 6 The report then recommends another two-level increase 7 under Section 2G2.1(b)(5) because the minor victim was in the 8 custody and care of the defendant at the time of the offense. 40 plus 2 is 42. 9 10 And the report then recommends another two-level 11 increase under Section 3A1.1(b)(1) because the victim was 12 sleeping, and the defendant, therefore, should have known the 13 victim of the offense was a vulnerable victim. 42 plus 2 14 is 44. 15 The report then recommends that the offense level be 16 decreased by two levels under Section 3E1.1(a) of the 17 quidelines because the defendant has accepted responsibility 18 for his conduct. And in its statement with respect to 19 sentencing factors, the government has moved for an additional 20 one-level decrease of the offense level under 21 Section 3E1.1(b). I grant that motion by the government. 22 So based on this, the presentence investigation 23 report calculates the total offense level to be 41. We were

The report then calculates the defendant's criminal

at 44, minus 2, minus 1, is 41.

24

25

history category as I based on a criminal history score of 1 2 zero. Based on my factual findings, I agree with the 3 4 report's calculations of the offense level and the criminal 5 history category. 6 So, with a total offense level of 41, and a criminal 7 history category of I, the presentence investigation report 8 calculates the applicable quidelines range as follows: 9 A guideline term of imprisonment of 240 months, which 10 is the statutory maximum, and which is actually less than the 11 quidelines range on the guidelines table. But because it's 12 the statutory maximum, it becomes the quidelines range. 13 the guideline term of imprisonment is 240 months. 14 The fine range is \$50,000 to \$250,000. 15 supervised release is five years to life. And there is a 16 mandatory special assessment that I must impose, and if the 17 defendant is not indigent, Justice For Victims of Trafficking 18 Act assessment of \$5,000. 19 I agree with all those calculations in the 20 presentence report, as well. 21 Mr. Aurand, under the Supreme Court's decision in United States versus Booker and the Second Circuit's decision 22 23 in United States versus Crosby, the Court must consider the guidelines, but it's not bound by them. 24

The Court also must consider the sentencing factors

25

that are included in 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), 1 and those factors include: the nature and circumstances of the 2 3 offense; your history and your characteristics; the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to 4 5 promote respect for the law, and to provide a fair punishment 6 to you; the need to deter others from committing crimes and to 7 protect the public from your crimes; the need to provide you 8 with educational or vocational training in an attempt to rehabilitate you; the types of sentences that are available; 9 10 any policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission; 11 sentences given to others who committed crimes similar to the one to which you pleaded guilty; and the need for restitution 12 13 for victims. 14 I'm going to take all those factors into account, but 15 before I impose sentence, I want to give the attorneys and you 16 an opportunity to address me to raise anything you think is 17 relevant to sentencing. 18 So we're going to start with the prosecutor. Ms. Lamarque, does the government want to make any remarks? 19 20 MS. LAMARQUE: Yes, Your Honor. Obviously, the 21 conduct in this case is heinous, and that's why the government 22 is recommending a sentence of 20 years. Looking at all the 23 factors under 3553(a) it would be appropriate. 24 In fact, the guidelines would be much higher but for 25 the plea agreement that Mr. Seibert negotiated. He's kept out

at 20 years in this case. If he had been convicted at trial, 1 he would be facing up to 33 years, which the government would 2 3 have advocated for. The abuse that the victim suffered at this 4 5 defendant's hands, that will haunt her forever, it will haunt 6 her mother forever. 7 Since he distributed those images of the abuse, those 8 images are out there. They're out there forever. She'll have 9 to live with that the rest of her life. 10 And distribution is just one of the aggravating 11 factors in this case. There are many, many aggravating 12 factors, some of which the quidelines do account for, and some 13 of which I don't think the quidelines account for. 14 The chats that the defendant had with the undercover 15 agent, they're nothing short of disturbing. 16 And I note that the chats took place many, many 17 months after the abuse actually happened. He stated to the 18 agent that even when the victim was awake when he was 19 babysitting her, he was grabbing her all over, he was tickling 20 her, and that was for his own sexual pleasure. 21 To the government, it indicates that he was grooming her for further sexual contact. 22 23 He gave the agent advice on how to drug children so 24 that he could sexually abuse them while they were passed out. 25 He repeatedly requested that the man who he thought

he was talking to abused a 9-year-old girl, who he thought was 1 the person's daughter. 2 So, it's clear that the actions in this case were not 3 4 just an impulsive lack of control for one night. It was more 5 a pattern of conduct. And I think that reflects the nature 6 and the defendant's history. 7 The report from Dr. Heffler is also pretty 8 unsettling. I don't think I've ever seen a report from Dr. Heffler that has this tone. 9 10 And even though he recognized that the defendant does 11 have a capacity for victim specific empathy and remorse, based on that report and the statements in the PSR, it appears that 12 13 maybe the defendant is still working through some of those 14 issues. 15 The report reflects a high rate of recidivism. 16 The defendant endorsed the following statements which 17 he believed at the time of the offense: 18 If a person is attracted to sex with children, he 19 should solve that problem himself and not talk to 20 professionals. 21 If a person tells himself that he won't molest again, 22 then he probably wasn't. 23 Sometimes molesters suffer the most, lose the most, 24 or hurt the most as a result of a sexual assault on a child, 25 more than a child suffers.

And sometimes kids don't say no to sexual activity 1 because they are curious or enjoy it. 2 3 So while he's clearly accepted responsibility for what he's done in this case, based on this report and the 4 5 statements in the PSR, his concern seems to be more with him 6 throwing his life out of the window than really any concern 7 that has conduct has had on the victim in this case, and 8 bettering himself in the future. 9 So, for those reasons, the government is also asking 10 for the imposition of a lifetime sentence of supervised 11 release. 12 THE COURT: Let me ask you this, Ms. Lamarque. 13 Dr. Heffler also, in his report, includes his opinion that 14 some of the things that the defendant suffered as a young 15 child caused him to act this way. It certainly doesn't 16 justify it, but may explain it. 17 Shouldn't I take that into account in imposing a 18 sentence? 19 MS. LAMARQUE: You could take that into account, 20 Your Honor. I would note that I think as a mitigating factor, 21 there are so many other aggravating factors that 20 years is 22 appropriate. 23 And it's difficult to accept an explanation of prior 24 abuse, because there are so many young girls who get abused 25 who don't go on to abuse.

```
So the Court, yes, you can consider his childhood and
 1
 2
    the abuse that he's faced, and the hard times that he suffered
 3
    as a child. But I would also ask that you look at the
 4
    multitude of aggravators in this case.
             THE COURT: Let me ask you, are -- have all victims
 5
 6
    entitled to notice been given notice?
 7
             MS. LAMAROUE: Yes. And I do want to note for the
8
    Court that the victim's mother is present in the courtroom.
9
    She does not want to speak, but she did want to be present.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. But everyone who's entitled to
11
    notice has been given notice?
12
             MS. LAMARQUE: Yes.
13
             THE COURT: And no one submitted a statement, and no
14
    one wants to speak; is that correct?
15
             MS. LAMARQUE: No, Your Honor. But in the PSR, the
16
    victim's mother did put a small statement.
17
             THE COURT: Absolutely. Yeah. No, no, I recognize
18
    that.
19
             MS. LAMARQUE: Okay.
20
             THE COURT: I want to make sure that I'm giving any
21
    victim an opportunity to say what any victim wants to say.
22
             MS. LAMARQUE: There's nothing additional.
23
             THE COURT: All right.
24
             MS. LAMARQUE: Thank you.
25
             THE COURT: Terrific. Okay.
```

Mr. Seibert? 1 MR. SEIBERT: Judge, I do understand that some of the 2 3 comments he made to Dr. Heffler may sound a little egregious, 4 but those were multiple choices where he only had the ability to pick from one or two answers. Those were not Mr. Aurand's 5 6 answers that he just blurted out. 7 He was given, on each part of this test, there's no 8 part of the test where he's allowed to give his own answer. 9 Everything is multiple choice. 10 THE COURT: It's whether he agrees with something or 11 not. 12 MR. SEIBERT: It's this or that. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, I get that. 14 MR. SEIBERT: So I can understand that they sound 15 egregious, but the alternative that he had to choose from was 16 a lot worse of what would have been said today. 17 Now, we do know there's a victim in this case, and 18 there's nothing Mr. Aurand can do to go backwards and change 19 what happened on that day. 20 I do want the Court to note that while this happened, 21 Shane was only 19 years old. It's not an excuse. But Shane, 22 if you read Dr. Heffler's report, does function at a lower age 23 level than he is. He was only 19 at the time, he has no GED, or a high school diploma. 24 25 He was a victim of molestation himself, and I don't

believe Mr. Aurand understood the severity of what he was getting involved in.

He knew it was wrong. Don't get me wrong with that.

He knew it was wrong. But I don't believe he understood the exact severity of what he was doing. Because as being molested himself, in telling people what happened, went to authorities, nothing happened to the people that did this to him. Nothing. Weren't even arrested.

And while Shane is struggling with the realization that his life will never be the same, he is hopeful that he can take all the negativity and harm that he has caused and turn it into a positive outcome.

Shane understands that he faces incarceration, and while he is terrified at the prospect of being incarcerated and away from his family, he plans to make the best out of any sentence the Court imposes.

Shane is dedicated to seeking out every possible treatment option available, and knows that the mistakes and the harm that he has caused to the victim are not who he is.

Shane is confident that with the love and support of his family and, more importantly, with professional help, he can work towards understanding and overcoming the many mental health issues that he suffers from.

He hopes that some day he will be able to earn back the trust he has lost, and once again be a productive citizen.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He also knows he's going to be spending a good portion of his life in prison. And he did try to seek out some help at the Niagara County jail, but unfortunately, in the block they have him in, there's absolutely no help he can be given. His family did want Dr. Heffler to resume services. However, they couldn't afford him at \$1,500 a month to go up to the jail to counsel Shane. So we're just hoping that at some point there's something available for him. He does know he has some issues. He was actually -- it was a relief to him when Dr. Heffler went up there. At first it was a little aggravating, but then he realized in speaking with Dr. Heffler that there is an issue there, that something does have to be addressed. And throughout this whole process, Shane never said he didn't do this. He's always taken responsibility. And I believe that when he originally was arrested, he was kind of relieved that he was caught. It wasn't until afterwards that he realized exactly what he got himself into. And I'd just ask you, Your Honor, to take that into consideration when you're handing out your sentence today. That we're sentencing a 20-year-old man today that did these acts over 19 months ago. Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Aurand, anything you would like to say, sir? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 3 I'd like to say I'm sorry. And I know I created a 4 victim. And it's horrible. And there's nothing I can do to 5 take it back. And there's -- and I want the help. I really 6 There's just -- like, there was -- how can you bring 7 something like that up to someone, you know? And I never -- I -- I feel horrible. 8 missing out on all my daughter's life and everything in my 9 10 life. There's -- there's so much more that I could have done. 11 I'm sorry. And -- I don't know. I don't know what 12 else. 13 MR. SEIBERT: Judge, what I think he's trying to tell 14 you is what he told me. That when he realized he had this 15 problem, he was scared to go seek help out, because first he 16 thought he would have to admit and get arrested for what he 17 was doing. And he was completely embarrassed and ashamed of 18 what was he was doing, to try to find that type of help. 19 It's not like you can, like, alcohol or a drug 20 This is -- society has much more of a stigma on this problem. 21 where he just didn't know where to turn or how to find the 22 help. 23 That's what I meant when I thought he was relieved 24 when Dr. Heffler, where -- it was up. That everyone knew what 25 was going on, and he was finally going to be treated, and at

```
least his family knew what was going on.
 1
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 2
 3
             Does either counsel know of any reason why sentence
 4
    should not now be imposed?
 5
             MS. LAMARQUE: No, Your Honor.
 6
             MR. SEIBERT: No, Judge.
 7
             THE COURT: Under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984,
8
    and the 2016 version of the sentencing guidelines, it's the
9
    judgment of the Court that the defendant, Shane Michael
10
    Aurand, is hereby sentenced to 180 months of imprisonment.
11
    The cost of incarceration fee is waived. Upon release,
12
    defendant shall be placed on supervised release for life.
13
             After his release, the following conditions shall
14
    apply:
15
             Within 72 hours of release from custody of the Bureau
16
    of Prisons, the defendant shall report in person to the
17
    probation office in the district where he is released, unless
18
    his probation officer instructs him differently.
19
             The defendant shall comply with the standard
20
    conditions of supervised release adopted by the Court.
21
             The defendant shall not commit any crimes under
22
    federal, state, or local law.
23
             The defendant shall not possess a firearm or any
24
    other dangerous device.
25
             The defendant shall not possess a controlled
```

substance except as prescribed by a physician.

The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample as required by the Justice for All Act of 2004.

The defendant shall participate in a program for substance abuse, including substance abuse testing, such as urinalysis and other testing, and shall undergo a drug/alcohol evaluation and treatment if substance abuse is indicated by the testing.

The probation officer will supervise the details of any testing and treatment, including the selection of a treatment provider and schedule. If inpatient is recommended, however, it must be approved by the Court unless the defendant consents.

The defendant is not to leave treatment until completion or as ordered by the Court. While in treatment, the defendant is to abstain from using alcohol. The defendant is required to contribute to the cost of services rendered.

I'm imposing this condition because drug testing is required by the 1994 Crime Control Act, because it serves the statutory sentencing purposes of public protection and rehabilitation, in light of the history of drug use and abuse as noted in paragraphs 94 through 99 of the presentence report.

The defendant is also to participate in a mental health treatment program, including a mental health evaluation

and any treatment recommended.

The probation officer will supervise the details and any testing and treatment, including the selection of a provider and schedule. If inpatient treatment is recommended however, it must be approved by the Court unless the defendant consents.

The defendant is not to leave such treatment until completion or as ordered by the Court. While in treatment or taking psychotropic medication, the defendant shall abstain from using alcohol. The defendant is required to contribute to the cost of services rendered.

The defendant shall not use or possess any computer, data-storage device, or any internet-capable device, unless the defendant participates in the computer and internet monitoring program, or unless authorized by the Court or the United States Probation Office.

The defendant must provide the probation office advanced notification of any computers, automated services, or connected devices that he will use during the term of supervision.

The United States Probation Office is authorized to install any application as necessary to surveil all activity on computers or connected devices owned or used by the defendant.

The defendant will be required to pay the cost of

monitoring services.

The probation office shall be notified via electronic transmission of impermissible or suspicious activity, or communications occurring on such computer or connective device consistent with the computer monitoring policy in effect, or the practice currently used by, and therefore, the de facto policy, of the probation office.

As triggered by impermissible suspicious activity and based on reasonable suspicion, the defendant shall consent to and cooperate with unannounced examinations of any computer equipment owned or used by the defendant. This examination shall include, but is not limited to, retrieval and copying of all data from the computers, connected devices, storage media, and any internal or external peripherals, and may involve removal of such equipment for the purpose of conducting a more thorough inspection.

Any such monitoring or examination shall be designed to avoid as much as possible reading any privileged information or any private material that is not illegal or reasonable likely to lead to illegal material, or evidence related to illegal activity.

This condition serves the statutory sentencing purposes of public protection, deterrence, and rehabilitation, and is imposed in light of the defendant's admitted sexual attraction to minors.

The defendant must also participate in a sex offense specific treatment program, and follow the rules and regulations of that program. The probation officer will supervise the details of the defendant's participation in that program, including the selection of a provider and schedule.

The defendant is not to leave treatment until complete or as ordered by the Court. The defendant is required to contribute to the cost of services rendered. This condition serves the statutory sentencing purposes of public protection, deterrence, and rehabilitation, and is, again, imposed in light of the facts of this case and the defendant's admitted attraction to minors.

The defendant shall not have deliberate contact with any child under 18 years of age, excluding his biological or adopted children, unless approved by the probation officer or the Court.

The defendant shall not loiter within 100 feet of school yards, playgrounds, arcades, or other places primarily used by children under the age of 18.

The probation office has the discretion to authorize the defendant to pick up his children from school or other functions. However, authorization must be obtained in advance from the probation office or, alternatively, from the Court. This condition is imposed because it serves the statutory sentencing purposes of public protection, deterrence, and

rehabilitation, and in light of the facts of this case and the defendant's attraction to minors.

In order to monitor the defendant's compliance with not buying or subscribing to online services that provide child pornography, the defendant shall provide the probation office with access to any requested personal and/or business financial information. This condition serves the statutory sentencing purposes of public protection, deterrence, and rehabilitation.

The defendant shall register with the state sex offender registration agency in any state where he resides, is employed, carries on a vocation, or is a student, and shall provide proof of registration to the probation officer.

The probation office is authorized to release the defendant's presentence report to the New York State Board of Examiners of Sex Offenders. Further disclosure to the county court, and to the parties involved in the determination of the defendant's final classification level is also authorized. And, again, this condition serves the statutory sentencing purpose of public protection.

The defendant shall submit to a search of his person, property, vehicle, place of residence, or any other property under his control based on reasonable suspicion, and shall permit confiscation of any evidence or contraband discovered. This condition serves the statutory sentencing purposes of

public protection and deterrence.

The defendant shall submit to polygraph, computerized voice stress analyzer, or other such testing, not to exceed twice in a calendar year, and an additional two retests per year, as needed. That testing may include examinations using a polygraph, computerized voice stress analyzer, or other similar device to obtain information necessary for supervision, case monitoring, and treatment.

The defendant shall answer questions posed during the examination, subject to his right to challenge in a court of law the use of such statements as violations of his Fifth Amendment rights. In this regard, the defendant shall be deemed not to have waived his Fifth Amendment rights by making any such statements.

The results of any polygraph pretests and polygraph examinations may be disclosed to the probation office and the Court, but shall not be further disclosed without a court order. The defendant is required to contribute to the cost of services rendered. This condition serves the statutory sentencing services of public protection, deterrence, and rehabilitation.

The defendant shall pay to the United States a mandatory special assessment of \$100, that's due immediately. Payment shall be made to the Clerk, United States District Court, Attention Finance, United States Courthouse, 2 Niagara

Square, Buffalo, New York, 14202. If the special assessment 1 is not paid when he is incarcerated, payment of the special 2 3 assessment shall begin under the Bureau of Prisons Inmate Financial Responsibility program. 4 5 And I note that in Dr. Heffler's report, he includes 6 some conditions, as well. I think these conditions are 7 included in the conditions I've already imposed, but just to 8 be belt and suspenders, let me -- let me read those conditions, as well. 9 10 The defendant shall maintain a waiver of 11 confidentiality, which will permit unlimited communication 12 between his treatment provider and other parties involved or 13 potentially involved in the management of his offending 14 behavior. Such communication may involve, but is not limited 15 to, police agencies, courts, probation departments, involved 16 or affected family members, attorneys, and other treatment 17 providers. 18 He shall participate in sex offender treatment with a 19 qualified treatment provider for a period to be determined by 20 the treating clinician and the Court, if involved. 21 I think that's already been imposed. 22 He shall refrain from the possession or use of 23 pornographic materials including videos, magazines, or other electronically stored images. 24 25 He shall participate with psychiatric treatment to

include the administration of psychotropic medication, as 1 2 needed. 3 He shall submit to medically administered and 4 monitored antiandrogen treatment, if that's recommended by the 5 treatment provider. 6 He shall refrain from contact with children, unless 7 the supervision of another adult who is aware of his treatment 8 requirements and is approved by the treating clinician, and that excludes his own children. 9 10 He shall abstain from all alcohol or illegal 11 substance use, since that may lead to impaired judgment. 12 I've imposed that condition, and I think I'm not 13 going to impose that condition as a blanket condition for the 14 rest of his life since he's going to be on supervised release 15 for life. But let me just say, I've imposed it while he's 16 taking psychotropic medication, while he's in treatment for 17 that, while he's taking drug treatment. 18 So while there's any treatment involved, either by a 19 psychiatrist, in connection with a general mental health 20 condition that I've imposed, or the sexual offender treatment 21 condition that I've imposed, or by a -- a physician or other 22 medical care provider in connection with the drug treatment 23 that I've imposed, he's to refrain from using alcohol --24 MR. SEIBERT: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: -- as long as there's that treatment

25

1 going on. 2 He shall refrain from online computer use, except as 3 indicated in the conditions that I've already imposed. And, in addition, he shall refrain from possessing or 4 5 using digital cameras, PDAs, or cell phones with imaging 6 capabilities, again, except for the condition I've imposed. 7 So if he undergoes and participates in the probation office's 8 computer monitoring program, he can possess those things. 9 And then refrain from employment or volunteer work 10 that permits access to children. That's, I think, implicit in 11 the other conditions that I've imposed. 12 Submit to periodic clinical polygraph examinations, 13 I've imposed that, as well. 14 So I just wanted to make sure, as I say, belt and 15 suspenders, to impose the conditions that Dr. Heffler included 16 in his report. 17 So, in determining the sentence, I've reviewed the 18 facts of the case and the plea. I've read all the materials 19 that were submitted to me. I've read Dr. Heffler's report 20 very carefully. I began my analysis with the guidelines. 21 I've considered the arguments by both sides as to what the 22 appropriate sentence should be. And, most importantly, I've 23 considered the factors in 18, United States Code, Section

I'm not imposing a fine. I'm not -- and I find the

3553(a), which I stated earlier and I won't repeat.

24

25

```
defendant is indigent and, therefore, I do not impose the
 1
    Justice For Victims of Trafficking Act $5,000 assessment.
 2
                                                                I'm
 3
    also not imposing the costs of imprisonment or the costs of
 4
    supervised release, all because I don't believe you have the
 5
    financial ability to make those payments, and because of
 6
    the -- the inappropriate and inordinate impact that would have
 7
    on the people on the outside, the people who care about you
8
    and are going to be suffering as a result of your
    incarceration.
9
10
             So, the reason that I've imposed the sentence that
11
    I've imposed, first of all, child pornography is a very
12
    serious offense in and of itself. It ruins people's lives.
13
    It destroys people's lives.
14
             And just looking at child pornography is serious and
15
    destructive and -- in ways that I think we can't even
16
    contemplate now and don't even know.
17
             Here, it's all the more serious, because you
18
    mistreated and abused a child who was in your care, and while
19
    she was medicated, and while she was sleeping and, therefore,
20
    while she was vulnerable. And you knew that. You told the
21
    undercover agent that.
22
             And worse, still, you offered to send inappropriate
23
    pictures of your own infant daughter to an undercover agent.
    That's -- that's awful stuff.
24
25
             And as Ms. Lamarque says, that's -- that's really
```

I mean, it's horrible. It's -- and -- and as 1 unimaginable. 2 she says, and as she correctly says, those are aggravating 3 circumstances that -- that call for a significant sentence of 4 imprisonment. Balanced against this, though, as I alluded to when I 5 6 was talking with Ms. Lamarque, is your age, 19 years old when 7 this occurred, and the abuse that you suffered yourself. 8 And I recognize that you were dealt a tough hand. 9 Your parents had some drug issues when you were very young. 10 You were sent away to live with your father's family, you were 11 abused at that time at a very young age. 12 And when you told adults about what happened, they 13 treated it as if it were normal. So that can explain, as 14 Dr. Heffler suggests, why you have the issues that you have 15 now. It doesn't -- it doesn't excuse it. It doesn't justify 16 it. It doesn't make it right. And as Ms. Lamarque says, 17 there are lots of people who are abused that don't go on to 18 abuse people. 19 But I think it does give us a reason for what 20 occurred here, which I see as more than simply evil. I don't 21 think it's -- I don't think it's evil, I think it's 22 mental-health related and -- and sexuality-related things that 23 you have to come to grips with because of what you've gone through. 24 25 So, what tipped the balance for me to impose what I

consider to be a very serious sentence of 15 years, that's an awful long time, is Dr. Heffler's opinion that there is a high risk of recidivism, especially in the next four years and continuing for ten years. That scares me.

And to protect the public, I think I needed to impose a sentence that was greater than ten years. And I reached 15 years because I felt that that was enough of a cushion to protect society from your crimes, and also to take into account the mental-health issues and the other issues that resulted from your own abuse.

And I took into very serious consideration the Second Circuit's admonition that in cases like these, the guidelines often are disproportionate and call for a sentence toward the statutory maximum, which this one would have called for, for the statutory maximum.

And I don't think that given your young age, your own history of abuse, the fact that you have a clean record, completely clean record up until now, and given the mental-health issues that Dr. Heffler believes may be treatable, I don't believe that the statutory maximum is an appropriate sentence.

So, therefore, taking into account the nature and the circumstances and the seriousness of the offense, based on and balanced against your history and characteristics, and then taking into account the need to impose a sentence that's fair,

promotes respect for the law, promotes deterrence, and
protects the public from your crimes, I think that 15 years
incarceration and lifetime supervised release is an
appropriate sentence.

The supervised release with special conditions is intended to help you return to society after your incarceration, but it's also going allow the probation office to monitor your activities to ensure that you don't engage in further illegal activity.

And because of Dr. Heffler's concerns about recidivism, I agree with Ms. Lamarque that lifetime supervised release is appropriate.

I'm also going to recommend that you be incarcerated in a facility that does have the wherewithal to provide the mental health treatment and the sexual offender treatment that you need, as well as the substance abuse treatment that you need. But primarily, the mental health treatment and the sexual offender treatment, since I think those are the primary concerns here. The substance abuse, I think, is a secondary concern.

And I'm also going to recommend that taking those recommendations into consideration first, that if it's possible, you be housed as close to your family in Western New York as possible so that they have the opportunity to visit you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, based on the guidelines, based on the positions of the parties, based on my review of all the facts and circumstances presented to me, I think that the sentence I've imposed is sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of sentencing set forth in 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a)(2). Under Rule 32(j)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, I now advise you of your right to appeal. You have a statutory right to appeal your sentence under certain circumstances, particularly if you think the sentence is contrary to law. A defendant may waive those rights as part of a plea agreement. Mr. Aurand, as I think you recognize, you entered into a plea agreement in which you waived some of your rights to appeal, specifically your rights to appeal a sentence that falls within or is less than the calculated guidelines range of imprisonment. Waivers like these are generally enforceable. If you think the waiver is unenforceable for some reason, you can present that theory to the appellate court. If you want to attempt to appeal some issue that you believe survives your waiver, you must file a notice of appeal within 14 days. If you're unable to pay for the cost of an appeal,

you can apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis, that

means leave to appeal without paying costs. 1 You have the right to be represented by counsel in 2 3 any appeal. If you can't afford counsel, you have the right 4 to have counsel appointed to represent you free of charge. Ms. Lamarque, have there been any requests for 5 6 restitution? 7 MS. LAMAROUE: There are none, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So you have a motion? 9 MS. LAMARQUE: Yes. The government moves to dismiss 10 Counts 1 and 3 of the indictment pending. 11 THE COURT: That motion is granted. Anything further? MS. LAMARQUE: Nothing further. 12 13 THE COURT: Anything further from the defense? 14 MR. SEIBERT: No, Judge. 15 THE COURT: The statement of reasons shall be included in the judgement and shall be provided to the 16 17 probation office, to the Sentencing Commission, the Bureau of 18 Prisons. 19 A complete copy of the presentence report shall be 20 provided to the probation office, to the Sentencing 21 Commission, and to the Bureau of Prisons. 22 Any other copies of the report and related materials 23 shall remain confidential. 24 If an appeal is taken, counsel on appeal shall have 25 access to the report with the exception of the recommendations

section at the end of the report. 1 2 A judgement of the conviction should be prepared 3 promptly on the form prescribed for judgments, including 4 sentences under the Sentencing Reform Act. 5 And the defendant is remanded to the custody of the 6 marshals. 7 Mr. Aurand, I can see the remorse you have on your 8 face, and I see how distressed you are. I want you to know 9 that I think that Dr. -- I agree with Dr. Heffler that this 10 may well be treatable, and I hope that it is. And I hope that 11 given the treatment -- you're a young guy, and when you get 12 out, you're going to be a young guy. And you're going to have 13 a lot of years ahead of you to be productive and to be a 14 productive member of society. And I sincerely hope, I know we 15 all sincerely hope, that that's what happens here. 16 So, I wish you all the luck in the world. And I ask 17 you to participate wholeheartedly in the treatment that you're 18 going to get both in prison and after you're released from 19 prison. Okay? 20 Thanks very much, everyone. Appreciate it. 21 MS. LAMARQUE: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 MR. SEIBERT: Thanks, Judge. 23 (Proceedings concluded at 12:22 p.m.) 24 25

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I certify that the foregoing is a
4	correct transcription of the proceedings
5	recorded by me in this matter.
6	
7	
8	
9	s/ Ann M. Sawyer
10	Ann M. Sawyer, FCRR, RPR, CRR, NYRCR, NYACR, Notary Public
11	Official Reporter U.S.D.C., W.D.N.Y.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	